

(b) whether the compensation has been paid to the holders ;

(c) whether Government has received representations from the holders of these lands for payment of compensation ;

(d) whether it is a fact that compensation has not been paid to the holders till today ? if so, the reasons for the same and action taken to pay compensation early ?

SRI N. HUTCHAMASTHY GOWDA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Yes, but for the purpose of level crossing between Belgaum and Sulebhavi.

(b) Not yet.

(c) Yes.

(d) The compensation could not be paid for want of budget provision. No funds were made available by the Railway authorities though repeatedly requested. Necessary orders have now been issued to the Assistant Commissioner, Belgaum Sub-Division, to draw the amount on regular bills debiting the expenditure to "887 Adjusting Account with Railways," etc.

### Reorganisation of Hospitals

513. SRI H. T. KRISHNAPPA (Nagamangala).—Will the Minister for Health be pleased to state.—

(a) the various types of Hospitals in existence now ;

(b) whether Government contemplates to re-organise the present set of Hospitals ;

(c) the nature of local contributions levied on the public toward the construction of various types of Hospital Buildings ;

(d) the number of rural hospitals that are without buildings at present?

SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Health).—

(a) There are 27 categories of Medical Institutions existing is appended.

(b) Yes.

(c) In regard to the construction of a new Primary Health Centre Building a minimum popular contribution fixed long ago was Rs. 12,500 and free site. According to the existing rules, if the donor desires to name the Hospital or institution in his name, he has to donate at least 50% of the estimated cost of the construction.

In regard to Health Unit type Buildings 50% of the cost would be met by Government and 50% by local bodies.

As regards the construction of Medical Sub-Centre Building, the local bodies/Philanthropists will have to meet the entire cost of construction, including staff quarters entirely from their resources.

As regards L. F. Dispensaries/Maternity Homes/General Hospitals, etc., it is the policy to get the maximum contributions to the extent of entire cost of construction of buildings, or at least a minimum contribution of 50% of the cost of construction as in the case of L. F. Dispensaries and free site.

(d) 267 Rural Dispensaries have no permanent buildings at present.

#### ANNEXURE I

##### Medical Institutions existing

- 1 Hospitals attached to the Government Medical Colleges.
- 2 Special Hospitals like :—
  - (i) Minto Ophthalmic Hospital
  - (ii) Cancer Hospital
  - (iii) Mental Hospital
  - (iv) Epidemic Diseases Hospitals
  - (v) T. B. Hospitals and Sanatoria
  - (vi) Central Leprosarium
  - (vii) E. S. I. Hospitals/Dispensaries
- 3 District Headquarter Hospital.
- 4 Women and Children Hospital.
- 5 Maternity Hospital/Maternity Homes.
- 6 General Hospitals.
- 7 Civil Hospitals/Dispensaries.
- 8 Cottage Hospitals.
- 9 Local Fund Hospitals/Dispensaries.
- 10 Combined Hospitals/Dispensaries.
- 11 Primary Health Centres.
- 12 Reduced Scale Local Fund Dispensaries.
- 13 Primary Health Units.
- 14 Forest Dispensaries.
- 15 P.W.D./Police/Jail Dispensaries.
- 16 Malnad Improvement Dispensaries.
- 17 Medical Sub-Centres.
- 18 Health Unit Type Dispensaries.
- 19 Taluk Development Board Dispensaries.

- 20 Municipal Dispensaries.
- 21 Model Medical Health Units.
- 22 C.M.P.H. Units.
- 23 Railway Hospital/Dispensaries.
- 24 Hospitals and Dispensaries (named after donors).
- 25 Mobile Dispensaries.
- 26 Rural Dispensaries.
- 27 S. M. P. Centres.

**Message from the Chairman, L. C.**

**ANNOUNCEMENT**

**MADAM SPEAKER** —I have received the following message from the Chairman, Legislative Council, “As required under Rule 106 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of the Karnataka Legislative Council, I send herewith the Karnataka Appropriation Bill (No. 2), 1977 which has been agreed to by the Legislative Council on 14th July 1977”.

**Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance**

(ii) *re : irregularities in valuation of first year Degree Examination paper*

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ (ಹೊಳೆನರಸೀಪುರ).—ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳ ವಾಲು, ಮಾಹಿತೀ ಸಾಧನದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರುವ ಆಕ್ರಮಣಗಳ ಕುರಿತೆ ನಾನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯ ಸಚಿವರ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಸೆಳೆಯಲಂ ತಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾನಿಗಿರಿಂನ್ನ ಬೇಡಂತ್ತೇನೆ.

**SRI S. M. YAHYA** (Minister for University Education).—I wish to make the following statement:—

The valuation of the B.A., B.Sc., and B.Com., examinations of the Bangalore University has been carried out strictly in accordance with the rules and procedures. The University had started the Central valuation system about 6 years ago and this system has been working well. This system is also in vogue in the Pre-University Board and also in the Secondary Education Examination Board. Under the existing University Rules, no valuers are allowed to value more than 20 scripts a day. The Chief Examiner who is a paper-setter or reviewer shall review 10% of the answer scripts assessed by the valuers. Any corrections after review are made applicable to all the answer-scripts including those scripts which have been valued earlier. Further, any benefit of doubt which would arise is invariably given to the students. There are